This article was downloaded by: [Murillo-Amador, Bernardo] On: 8 January 2010 Access details: Access Details: [subscription number 918433968] Publisher Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37- 41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



# Journal of Plant Nutrition

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: <http://www.informaworld.com/smpp/title~content=t713597277>

# FIELD EVALUATION OF THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CHLOROPHYLL CONTENT IN BASIL LEAVES AND A PORTABLE CHLOROPHYLL METER (SPAD-502) READINGS

Francisco H. Ruiz-Espinoza ª; Bernardo Murillo-Amador ʰ; José Luis García-Hernández ʰ; Liborio Fenech-Larios ª; Edgar Omar Rueda-Puente º; Enrique Troyo-Diéguez ʰ; Cengiz Kaya ª; Alfredo Beltrán-Morales<sup>®</sup>

a Departamento Académico de Agronomía, Universidad Autónoma de Baja California Sur, La Paz, Mexico <sup>b</sup> Centro de Investigaciones Biológicas del Noroeste (CIBNOR), S.C. Programa de Agricultura en Zonas Aridas, La Paz, Mexico <sup>c</sup> Universidad de Sonora, Sonora, Mexico <sup>d</sup> Horticulture Department, Harran University, Sanliurfa, Turkey

Online publication date: 08 January 2010

To cite this Article Ruiz-Espinoza, Francisco H., Murillo-Amador, Bernardo, García-Hernández, José Luis, Fenech-Larios, Liborio, Rueda-Puente, Edgar Omar, Troyo-Diéguez, Enrique, Kaya, Cengiz and Beltrán-Morales, Alfredo(2010) 'FIELD EVALUATION OF THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CHLOROPHYLL CONTENT IN BASIL LEAVES AND A PORTABLE CHLOROPHYLL METER (SPAD-502) READINGS', Journal of Plant Nutrition, 33: 3, 423 — 438

To link to this Article: DOI: 10.1080/01904160903470463 URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/01904160903470463>

# PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use:<http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf>

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or<br>systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.



*Journal of Plant Nutrition*, 33:423–438, 2010 Copyright <sup>C</sup> Taylor & Francis Group, LLC. ISSN: 0190-4167 print / 1532-4087 online DOI: 10.1080/01904160903470463

# **FIELD EVALUATION OF THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CHLOROPHYLL CONTENT IN BASIL LEAVES AND A PORTABLE CHLOROPHYLL METER (SPAD-502) READINGS**

**Francisco H. Ruiz-Espinoza** □ *Departamento Académico de Agronomía, Universidad Autonoma de Baja California Sur, La Paz, Mexico ´*

**Bernardo Murillo-Amador and José Luis García-Hernández o** Centro de *Investigaciones Biologicas del Noroeste (CIBNOR), S.C. Programa de Agricultura en Zonas ´ Aridas, La Paz, Mexico*

**Liborio Fenech-Larios** □ *Departamento Académico de Agronomía, Universidad Autonoma de Baja California Sur, La Paz, Mexico ´*

**Edgar Omar Rueda-Puente** ✷ *Universidad de Sonora, Campus Santa Ana, Sonora, Mexico*

**Enrique Troyo-Diéguez**  $\Box$  *Centro de Investigaciones Biológicas del Noroeste (CIBNOR), S.C. Programa de Agricultura en Zonas Aridas, La Paz, Mexico*

**Cengiz Kaya** ✷ *Horticulture Department, Harran University, Sanliurfa, Turkey*

**Alfredo Beltrán-Morales**  $\Box$  *Departamento Académico de Agronomía, Universidad Autonoma de Baja California Sur, La Paz, Mexico ´*

✷ *A hand-held SPAD-502 chlorophyll meter provides rapid and nondestructive measures of chlorophyll content. Integrating this meter into basil production can reduce costs and may improve basil quality. This study determined the relationship between SPAD-502 and the leaf chlorophyll (total,* a, b*). Over 500 leaf samples were collected from a field study conducted in 2006. Comparisons between chlorophyll contents and meter readings showed that SPAD meter readings were positively correlated to actual chlorophyll content. Regression analysis SPAD readings should be corrected by leaf area. Findings suggest that SPAD meter readings can be used as a tool to improve Basil quality and for assessing the relative chlorophyll content during the growing season.*

**Keywords:** photosynthesis, linear regression, chlorophyll, *Ocimum basilicum*, SPAD-502

Received 2 June 2008; accepted 8 October 2008.

Address correspondence to Dr. Bernardo Murillo Amador, Centro de Investigaciones Biologicas ´ del Noroeste (CIBNOR), Mar Bermejo No. 195 Col., Playa Palo de Santa Rita, La Paz, Baja California Sur, C.P. 23090, Mexico. E-mail: bmurillo04@cibnor.mx

# **INTRODUCTION**

Photosynthesis is the most important biochemical process occurring in plants and chlorophyll is the key pigment involved in it (Samdur et al., 2000). In photosynthesis, antenna pigments in leaf chloroplasts absorb solar radiation, and through resonance transfer the resulting excitation is channeled to the reaction centre pigments, which release electrons and set in motion the photochemical process (Richardson et al., 2002). The chlorophylls, Chl *a* and Chl *b*, are virtually essential pigments for the conversion of light energy to stored chemical energy (Gitelson et al., 2003). The amount of solar radiation absorbed by a leaf is a function of the photosynthetic pigment content; thus, chlorophyll content can directly determine photosynthetic potential and primary production (Curran et al., 1990; Filella et al., 1995; Ma et al., 1995). From a physiological perspective, leaf Chl content is, therefore, a parameter of significant interest in its own right. Thus, Chl gives and indirect estimation of the nutrient because much of nitrogen is incorporated in chlorophyll (Filella et al., 1995; Hoel and Solhaug, 1998; Samdur et al., 2000; Moran et al., 2000; Hussain et al., 2000; Singh et al., 2002; Giunta et al., 2002; Wang et al., 2004; van den Berg and Perkins, 2004). The concentration of pigments can change with low temperature (Xian-Xiang and Vergara, 1986; Larcher, 2003), ozone injury (Tenga et al., 1989), salinity (Belkhodja et al., 1994; Misra et al., 2001). Pigments can also be used to monitor senescence process and postharvest conservation (Hendry, 1987; Cantwell and Reid, 1994; Philosoph-Hadas et al., 1994; Merzlyak and Gitelson, 1995; Meir et al., 1997; Peñuelas and Filella, 1988). Senescence of the tissues is a result of the oxidation of many compounds, like proteins, lipids and chlorophyll (da Silva et al., 2005).

Fresh basil (*Ocimum basilicum* L.) is a crop where the quality declines with storage. In addition, it has applications as a phytotherapic and therapeutic industries (Vieira and Simon, 2000; Miele et al., 2001a, 2001b). The therapeutic uses are related to essential oil contained in glands and trichomes (Bahl et al., 2000; Lewinsohn et al., 2000; Nacar and Transi, 2000; Vieira and Simon, 2000; Miele et al., 2001a, 2001b; Gang et al., 2001). Oil content can decrease with storage (da Silva et al., 2005), besides, senescence of leaves is frequently followed by an increase of activity of the peroxidases and polyphenoloxidases enzymes, which are responsible for darkening tissues (Underhill and Critchley, 1995).

Considering that senescence has a direct relationship with on leaf chlorophyll content, it is important with basil producers and consumers to know the chlorophyll content before and after harvest. Chlorophyll is measured using laboratory techniques (Richardson et al., 2002). Laboratory analysis is destructive, time-consuming, and expensive. Inexpensive quick methods are needed (Inskeep and Bloom, 1985). It is also destructive, which limits its use as a diagnostic tool in germplasm screening for higher chlorophyll content or its use in leafy herbs.

Nondestructive optical methods, based on the absorbance and/or reflectance of light by the intact leaf, have been developed and used recently (Richardson et al., 2002). Optical methods generally yield a chlorophyll index value that express relative chlorophyll content but not absolute *Chl* content per unit leaf area, or concentration per gram of leaf tissue. These newer methods are non-destructive, inexpensive, quick and now possible in the field (Buschmann and Nagel, 1993; Gitelson and Merzlyak, 1994a, 1994b; Markwell et al., 1995; Gamon and Surfus, 1999; Gitelson et al., 2001, 2002) and have been demonstrated to predict chlorophyll content for different species of plants (Yadava, 1986; Marquard and Tipton, 1987; Campbell et al., 1990; Dwyer et al., 1991; Fanizza et al., 1991; Gratani, 1992; Monje and Bugbee, 1992; Markwell et al., 1995; Castelli et al., 1996; Hoel and Solhaug, 1998; Azia and Stewart, 2001; Yamamoto et al., 2002; Zotarelli et al., 2002; Richardson et al., 2002; Cate and Perkins, 2003; Gitelson et al., 2003; Murillo-Amador et al., 2004; Wang et al., 2005; Uddling et al., 2007). After establishing a general correlative relationship for a particular species, it is possible to use a chlorophyll meter in applications for which precise values are not necessary. For example, rapid assessment of relative chlorophyll in basil would be of particular utility for basil producers or researchers investigating the senescence, the oil content, the symptoms of which include foliar nutrient deficiencies and reduced chlorophyll content. The accuracy and utility of the handheld (SPAD-502) chlorophyll meter for rapid and predicting chlorophyll content in basil leaves has not been previously reported. However, differences between regression equations for chlorophyll content and SPAD index in some crops has been reported (Campbell et al., 1990; Fanizza et al., 1991; Yamamoto et al., 2002). This difference might have been partly due to the differences in specific leaf weight (SLW), one of indicator of leaf thickness (Yamamoto et al., 2002). Thus, the objective of this study was to establish the ability of a portable chlorophyll meter (SPAD-502) to estimate total chlorophyll (Chl  $a + Chl b$ ) in basil and correlates these data with extractable chlorophyll obtained by a conventional method. Moreover, this study discusses the influence of SLW and SPAD readings in chlorophyll basil leaves.

# **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

# **Experimental Site**

The experiment was conducted under field conditions at La Paz-El Carrizal valley, located in a semiarid zone of the state of Baja California Sur, northwestern Mexico, 60 km south of La Paz ( $23^{\circ}43'$  N,  $110^{\circ}10'$  W),

approximately 320 m above sea level. The area receives on average 150 mm yr−<sup>1</sup> rainfall, about 90% of which occurs from July to September. Mean, maximum, and minimum temperatures are 19.1, 31.6, and  $9.4 °C$  during basil cropping (January to August) respectively. Meteorological observations during the study were obtained from an automated weather station. The experimental site has a semiarid climate and sustaining a xerofitic vegetation, there are soils with A-AC-C profiles, classified as Haplic Regosols (Eutric)(Arenic) (IUSS Working Group WRB, 2006) or Entisols (Soil Taxonomy). The soils are characterized by good conditions of aeration and penetrability for plant roots and a low-medium water retention, which tends to be a disadvantage in a semiarid zone. There are low reserves of all macronutrients. Nevertheless, the availability of N and P depends on the microbial activity to transform soil organic matter. Soil exhibits favorable site characteristics for vegetation and organic agriculture, which are limited by the regional climatic conditions.

### **Crop Management and Plant Material**

The land was prepared by two crisscross plowings and two harrowing. Land was leveled and furrowed for basil transplanting on December 2005. Thereafter, 25-d-old seedlings were transplanted at 30 cm spacing between plants and 80 cm between furrows, with a plant density of 62,000 plants ha−1. We used leaves from basil (*Ocimum basilicum* L.) plants cv. 'Nufar' (green-leafed), which were adequate fertilized, irrigated and protected from pests and diseases. All plants were approximately three months old when chlorophyll determinations were made.

# **Hand-Held Chlorophyll Meter**

A hand-help SPAD-502 (Minolta Camera Co., Osaka, Japan) was used to estimate chlorophyll content, which was designed and produced based on Inada's finding (Inada, 1963). This instrument weighs 225 g, has a 0.06-cm<sup>2</sup> measurement area, and calculates an index in SPAD units. The principle of measurement of the SPAD is base on the difference in light attenuation at 650 and 940 nm. The transmittance at 940 nm functions as a reference to compensate leaf variables while the 650 nm source is sensitive to chlorophyll concentration. From the difference in light attenuation a dimensionless SPAD unit, ranging from 0 to 80, is calculated by the microprocessor in the SPAD-502 chlorophyll meter (Azia and Stewart, 2001). The claimed accuracy of the SPAD-502 is  $\pm$  1.0 SPAD units (Richardson et al., 2002). The SPAD makes simple, rapid, and nondestructive measurements to provide a relative indication of leaf chlorophyll concentration compared to the extraction method (Yadava, 1986; Marquard and Tipton, 1987; Yamamoto et al., 2002).

#### **Measurements**

Weekly extracted chlorophyll measurements and SPAD readings were taken on the basil plants for five consecutive weeks during July–August. The sampling period in our study, it is a reasonable time for the equation to be used by plant breeders, physiological researchers and for penultimate leaf chlorophyll concentration as measured by SPAD-502 meter to remain stable (Dwyer et al., 1995). Leaf samples were collected between 08:00 and 10:00 h. Two adjacent youngest, fully expanded, healthy, turgid, flat, and homogeneous in color and size leaves were selected, making 1000 in all (200 leaves per week). Five hundred leaves were used for both, field (SPAD) and laboratory chlorophyll (extractable *Chl*) determination and the other 500 leaves were used for specific leaf weight (SLW) determination.

#### *SPAD-Readings*

After cleaning surface dust from the selected leaves, on each leaf, three SPAD readings were taken on each side of the midrib. The six readings  $(36 \text{ mm}^2 \text{ total measurement area})$  per leaf were averaged to produce a single observation value for each leaf. This procedure was followed for averaging heterogeneity of chlorophyll distribution in the leaf surface (MacNicol et al., 1976). Before taking individual measurements, the meter was set to zero without any sample in the sample box slot by pressing the same button that is used for data collection. While recording SPAD readings, care was taken to ensure that SPAD meter sensor fully covered the leaf lamina and that interference from veins and midribs was avoided.

### *Chlorophyll Extraction*

Immediately following SPAD measurement, leaf area was measured with a LI-COR (LI-3000A, LI-COR, Lincoln, NE, USA) and later, three disks from the same leaves (total area of  $3.90 \text{ cm}^2$ ) were sampled using a hole punch, immediately pooled, macerated, and homogenized in 20 ml of cold, 80% aqueous acetone, and transported in a portable icebox to the laboratory. Chlorophyll suspensions were kept in the dark for 72 h prior to measuring absorbance with a spectrophotometer (Spectronic Unicom, Cambridge, UK). Before to measuring absorbance, the pigment extracts were centrifuged for 3–5 min in glass tubes to make the extract fully transparent. Absorbance of leaf extracts was measured at 645 nm and 663 nm, and total chlorophyll content (Chl *a* + Chl *b*) was determined by the method of Arnon (Arnon, 1949) and expressed on both, fresh weight (mg  $g^{-1}$ ) and leaf area basis (mg cm<sup>-2</sup>).

# *Specific Leaf Weight*

The leaf area of the remaining 500 collected leaves was measured using the same leaf area meter after which the leaves were oven-dried at  $80^{\circ}$ C

to constant weight (approximately 48 h) before determining the leaf dry weight. The SLW was calculated as the ratio of leaf area to leaf dry weight.

# **Statistical Treatment of the Data**

Pearson's simple correlation coefficients (*r*) among variables were determined by the CORR procedure in the SAS system (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA). The dependent variables in single and multiple regressions were chlorophyll *a*, *b,* and total obtained by extraction, and the independent variable was SPAD-502 chlorophyll reading for simple regression and SPAD-502 readings and SLW for multiple regressions. From this correlations variables, the simple or multiple regression equation  $(Y = a + bx)$  was developed using the 95% limits of confidence. Regression analysis for linear effects was also performed using the REG procedure in the SAS system, where *F* , coefficient of determination  $R^2$ ,  $P$ , mean, coefficient of variation, and standard deviation for each dependent and independent variable were obtained. All figures were done with Statistica 7.0 for Windows (StatSoft, Inc., Tulsa, OK, USA). When we detected outliers, which by definition are typical infrequent observations, the data were winsorized to improve the linear regression models, correlation coefficients, determination coefficients, and the regression line generally. In winsorization, values in an ordered array are replaced by neighboring values (Sokal and Rohlf, 1998).

# **RESULTS**

# **Actual Chlorophyll Content**

The total Chl content when it was expressed on a leaf area basis ranged from 0.0098 to 0.0515 mg cm−2; the average *Chl a* and *Chl b* contents were 0.195 and 0.0044 mg cm<sup>-2</sup>, respectively. Leaf concentrations (mg  $g^{-1}$ ) of total Chl ranged from 0.379 to 1.661 mg g<sup>−1</sup> with average of 0.856 mg g<sup>−1</sup>; the average Chl *a* and Chl *b* contents were 0.70 and 0.17 mg g−1. There was generally a close linear relationship between Chl*a* and Chl*b* in both cases, when Chl was expressed on a leaf area and on fresh weight ( $r = 0.80$ ,  $p =$ 0.000,  $n = 500$ ). The Chla: Chlb ratio was in the range of 2.07–15.74, with average of 5.12.

# **Relationships between Chl Readings (SPAD-502) and Measured Chl content (a, b, and Total)**

SPAD-502 readings were significantly related to extracted chlorophyll (*a*, *b,* and *total*) levels of basil leaves in terms of fresh weight and leaf area basis, during basil cropping. The best fit was a linear relationship. Correlation

Components	Simple regression	Correlation coefficients $(r)$	Coefficients of determination $(R^2)$		
	Extracted chlorophyll in fresh weight basis $(mg/g)$				
Chlorophyll $a$	$Y = -0.1080 + 0.0262*X$	$0.61**$	$0.38**$		
Chlorophyll $b$	$Y = -0.0327 + 0.0062*X$	$0.37**$	$0.14**$		
<b>Total Chlorophyll</b>	$Y = -0.1416 + 0.0324*X$	$0.57**$	$0.33**$		
	Extracted chlorophyll in leaf area basis $(mg/cm2)$				
Chlorophyll a	$Y = -0.0046 + 0.0008$ <sup>*</sup> X	$0.73**$	$0.54**$		
Chlorophyll $b$	$Y = -0.0014 + 0.0002$ <sup>*</sup> X	$0.42**$	$0.17**$		
<b>Total Chlorophyll</b>	$Y = -0.006 + 0.001*X$	$0.67**$	$0.45**$		

**TABLE 1** Relationship between extracted leaf chlorophyll (Y) and SPAD-502 readings (X) in basil leaves

∗∗Significant at *P* < 0.01.

coefficients (*r* ) and regression equations between SPAD-502 readings and chlorophyll contents are presented in Table 1. Correlation coefficients between SPAD-502 readings and chlorophyll contents were highly significant at all leaves sampled. In terms of fresh weight basis, the *r* values between SPAD-502 readings and chlorophyll *a* (0.61∗∗), chlorophyll *b* (0.37∗∗), and total chlorophyll (0.57∗∗) were high, positive and significant. Likewise, in terms of leaf area basis, the *r* values between SPAD-502 readings and chlorophyll  $a(0.73^{**})$ , chlorophyll  $b(0.42^{**})$ , and total chlorophyll  $(0.67^{**})$  were high, positive and significant, indicating closer relationship of these traits with the SPAD-502 readings, i.e. the higher the SPAD-502 readings, the higher the chlorophyll pigments will be and vice versa. The regression lines (Figures 1 and 2) showed that these variables are linearly related with each other. The *R*<sup>2</sup> values for chlorophyll *a*, *b* and *total* chlorophyll on a fresh weight basis were 0.38∗∗, 0.14∗∗, and 0.33∗∗, respectively. On a leaf area basis, the *R*<sup>2</sup> values were 0.54∗∗, 0.17∗∗, and 0.45∗∗, for chlorophyll *a*, *b*, and *total* chlorophyll, respectively (Table 1).

Based on that the accuracy of portable chlorophyll meters decreases at high SPAD readings (Monje and Bugbee, 1992; Richardson et al., 2002), we used the natural log transformations of SPAD readings and total chlorophyll values to determine if the correlation coefficients (*r* ) or coefficients of determination  $(R^2)$  reported in this experiment could be increased to better explain the variation of the data as a linear model; however, these values were not increased significantly. On the other hand, separate multiple regressions were done with chlorophyll (*a*, *b*, and total) as dependent variables, and SPAD readings and SLW as independent variables. These regressions were significant at  $P < 0.01$  and all correlation (*r*) and determination coefficients  $(R^2)$  increased their values compared with those values showed in simple regression equations, being higher when extracted chlorophyll was expressed in leaf area basis (Tables 1 and 2).



**FIGURE 1** Linear regression with 95% prediction limits of the relationship between (A) SPAD readings and chlorophyll *a* (mg/g); (B) SPAD readings and chlorophyll *b* (mg/g); (C) SPAD readings and total chlorophyll (mg/g).



**FIGURE 2** Linear regression with 95% prediction limits of the relationship between (A) SPAD readings and chlorophyll *a* (mg/cm2); (B) SPAD readings and chlorophyll *b* (mg/cm2); (C) SPAD readings and total chlorophyll  $(mg/cm<sup>2</sup>)$ .

Components	Multiple regression	Correlation coefficients $(r)$	Coefficients of determination $(R^2)$
	Extracted chlorophyll in fresh weight basis $(mg/g)$		
Chlorophyll $a$	$Y = -0.1078 + 0.0262^*X_1 - 0.050^*X_2$	$0.71**$	$0.51**$
Chlorophyll $b$	$Y = -0.0310 + 0.0072 * X_1 - 0.0260 * X_2$	$0.47**$	$0.22**$
<b>Total Chlorophyll</b>	$Y = -0.1366 + 0.0324*X_1 - 0.0655*X_2$	$0.67**$	$0.45**$
	Extracted chlorophyll in leaf area basis $(mg/cm2)$		
Chlorophyll $a$	$Y = -0.0031 + 0.0007^*X_1 - 0.0003^*X_2$	$0.74**$	$0.55**$
Chlorophyll $b$	$Y = -0.0007 + 0.0001^*X_1 - 0.0001^*X_2$	$0.43**$	$0.19**$
<b>Total Chlorophyll</b>	$Y = -0.0038 + 0.0009^*X_1 - 0.0005^*X_2$	$0.68**$	$0.46**$

**TABLE 2** Relationship between extracted leaf chlorophyll (Y), SPAD-502 readings (X1) and specific leaf weight  $(X_2)$  in basil leaves

∗∗Significant at *P* < 0.01. Specific Leaf Weight (SLW) = milligram per square centimeter.

### **DISCUSSION**

The positive relationships found for basil leaves in this study were comparable with most studies in the literature that quantify the relationship between SPAD readings and *Chl* content values employ linear regression. Thus, Yadava (1986) reported a linear relationship between SPAD readings from fresh leaf samples and extracted chlorophyll of 22 different species with pooled *r* value of 0.843; Xian-Xiang and Vergara (1986) reported a very high *r* value (0.989) between SPAD reading and chlorophyll content in rice; Marquard and Tipton (1987) in 12 different species found that extractable chlorophyll was significantly related to SPAD readings, and  $r^2$  for individual species ranged from 0.83 to 0.97; Himelrick et al. (1992) reported a linear regression relationship between SPAD-502 readings and extracted chlorophyll of strawberries leaves with a series of  $r^2$  values of 0.93, 0.89, and 0.92 for chlorophyll *a*, Chl *b* and total Chl, respectively; Samdur et al. (2000) in *Arachis hypogaea* found a positive and highly significant correlation between SPAD readings and chlorophyll content with *r* value of 0.94<sup>∗∗</sup> for chlorophyll *a*, 0.90∗∗ for chlorophyll *b*, and 0.93∗∗ for total chlorophyll; Yamamoto et al. (2002) working with sorghum and pigeonpea leaves, found that simple regression of SPAD indices and total chlorophyll content were significant at the 0.1 level, and the correlation coefficients of simple regression were more than 0.90; Murillo-Amador et al. (2004) found a linear relationship between SPAD readings and the extractable leaf chlorophyll content for data pooled from 61 cowpea genotypes (*r* = 0.76). Coefficients of correlation for individual cowpea genotypes ranged from 0.64 to 0.97; Wang et al. (2004) evaluating the feasibility of using SPAD-502 chlorophyll meter for estimating leaf chlorophyll in *Spathyphyllum* Schitt, found significant correlation coefficients between SPAD values and leaf chlorophyll of 0.83, 0.77, and 0.73 for the three cultivars respectively ('Claudia', 'Double Take' and 'Petite'); Wang et al. (2005) in tropical ornamental foliage plants, highly significantly linear relationships ( $r^2 \geq 0.87$ ) found between SPAD readings and chlorophyll *a*, *b*, or total chlorophyll content.

The correlation coefficients (*r*) or coefficients of determination  $(R^2)$ values reported in basil leaves could be considered lower compared with all species mentioned previously, which apparently reflects a weaker linear relationships between SPAD readings and extractable chlorophyll. However, these values might reflect the different crop response to nitrogen (Politycka and Golcz, 2004) and other nutrient elements (Valenzuela et al., 1994), environmental factors such as carbon dioxide (Sicher, 1998), air temperature (Sorrentino et al., 1997), and light (Demmig-Adams and Adams, 1996). However, the values of chlorophyll content and SPAD readings in basil leaves of this study are equal to those reported in basil by Kopsell et al. (2005), Politycka and Golcz (2004) and da Silva et al. (2005). In the same sense, it is important to considerer that many studies related to chlorophyll content and SPAD readings in different plant species, used in some cases, a reduced number of leaves (Yadava 1986; Marquard and Tipton, 1987; Campbell et al., 1990; Dwyer et al., 1991; Gratani, 1992; Richardson et al., 2002; Cate and Perkins, 2003;van den Berg and Perkins, 2004; Uddling et al., 2007), which according to Little and Hills (1975), when a few observations are used to explain correlations between variables, these conclusions can to conduct a serious errors of interpretation. In the present study, five hundred basil leaves were used to determine the relationship among SPAD readings and chlorophyll content.

When we used the natural log transformations of SPAD readings and total chlorophyll values, the correlation coefficients (*r*) or coefficients of determination  $(R^2)$  values reported here, were not increased such as those reported in sugar maple leaves by Van den Berg and Perkins (2004), who found that this model increased the  $r^2$  value from 0.76 to 0.81. The difference of our results with respect to those reported by van den Berg and Perkins  $(2004)$ , could be related with the chlorophyll units that those used  $(\mu$ g mm<sup>-2</sup>), while we reported extracted chlorophyll in milligrams in both cases, on a leaf area basis and a fresh weight basis. Based on this and according with Zar (1999), the logarithmic transformation is especially preferable when some of the observed values are small numbers.

The fact that in the present study a multiple regressions increased the values of correlation and determination coefficients compared with those values showed in simple regression equations, is a evidence and suggest that SLW is an important factor affecting the chlorophyll content (*a*, *b*, and total) on basil leaves, because of SLW is one of the indicators of leaf thickness (Chiariello et al., 1989), which change according to leaf age and environment (Gratani and Bombelli, 2000). Likewise, it has been demonstrated that reflectance increases and transmittance decrease with an increase in leaf thickness (Knapp and Carter, 1998), which is one of the factors that determines SPAD index under different conditions (kind of crop, growth conditions, and growth stages; Yamamoto et al., 2002). These results are similar those reported by Campbell et al. (1990), Fanizza et al. (1991), Peng et al. (1993) and Yamamoto et al. (2002) who mentioned that another feature reported is the specific leaf weight (SLW), which appears to be one of the factors determining SPAD index under different conditions.

On the other hand, the relationship between SPAD readings and extractable chlorophyll was stronger in both cases, simple and multiple regressions, when it was expressed on a leaf area basis than a fresh weight basis. This result could be related to the wide spread distribution of chlorophyll within the leaf (Azia and Stewart, 2001). Similar results were found when extractable chlorophyll was expressed on a leaf area basis by Xian-Xiang and Vergara (1986) in rice leaves; Marquard and Tipton (1987) in twelve plant species; Tenga et al. (1989) in tomato leaves; Campbell et al. (1990) in apple leaves; Fanizza et al. (1991) in *Vitis vinifera*; Dwyer et al. (1991) in corn leaves; Azia and Stewart (2001) in muskmelon leaves; Yamamoto et al. (2002) in sorghum and pigeonpea; Cate and Perkins (2003) and van den Berg and Perkins (2004) in sugar maple; and Murillo-Amador et al. (2004) in cowpea leaves. This suggests that the SPAD meter might be useful with greater certainty in predicting chlorophyll content in basil leaves if extractable chlorophyll is expressed on a leaf area basis.

Finally, we conclude that the *r* and  $R^2$  values between SPAD-502 readings and chlorophyll (*a*, *b,* and total) obtained in basil leaves were high, positive and significant, indicating closer relationship of these traits with the SPAD-502 readings, i.e., higher the SPAD-502 readings higher will be the chlorophyll pigments and vice versa. The simple and multiple regression lines showed that these variables are linearly related with each other with higher relationship when chlorophyll was expressed in terms of leaf area basis than when was expressed on fresh weight basis, being higher . However, the multiple regression based on SLW with chlorophyll (*a*, *b*, and total) as dependent variables, and SPAD readings and SLW as independent variables increased and improved this relationship, suggesting that SLW is an important factor affecting the chlorophyll content (*a*, *b*, and total) on basil leaves, because of SLW is one of the indicators of leaf thickness, for which SLW has influence on SPAD-502 readings and causes the different between regression lines for chlorophyll content and SPAD-502 index for which it is necessary to determine it so as to maximize the accuracy of estimating leaf chlorophyll as a function of the SPAD readings. In general terms, the SPAD-502 readings were strongly correlated with chlorophyll content (*a*, *b,* and total) determined by absorbance of extracted pigments, indicating that this instrument can be used in the field to monitor chlorophyll content in basil leaves, and the data confirms too that SPAD is an effective tool for rapid and nondestructive estimation of relative chlorophyll content in basil leaves during the growing season.

# **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

We thank Lidia Hirales and Carmen Mercado the technical assistance. This research was supported by grant ZA3 and ZA3.1 from CIBNOR, and grant 2429 from JICA and Tottori University (Japan).

# **REFERENCES**

- Arnon, D. I. 1949. Cooper enzymes in isolated chloroplasts. Polyphenoloxidases in *Beta vulgaris*. *Plant Physiology* 24: 1–15.
- Azia, F., and K. A. Stewart. 2001. Relationships between extractable chlorophyll and SPAD values in muskmelon leaves. *Journal of Plant Nutrition* 24: 961–966.
- Bahl, J. R., S. N. Garg, R. P. Bansal, A. A. Naqvi, V. Singh, and S. Kumar. 2000. Yield and quality of shoot essential oil from the vegetative, flowering and fruiting stage crops of *Ocimum basilicum cv*. 'Kusumohak'. *Journal of Medicinal and Aromatic Plants* 22: 743–746.
- Belkhodja, R., F. Morales, A. Abadía, J. Gómez-Aparisi, and J. Abadía. 1994. Chlorophyll fluorescence as a possible tool for salinity tolerance screening in barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.). *Plant Physiology* 104: 667–673.
- Buschmann, C., and E. Nagel. 1993. *In vivo* spectroscopy and internal optics of leaves as basis for remote sensing of vegetation. *International Journal Remote Sensors* 14: 711–722.
- Campbell, R. J., K. N. Mobley, and R. P. Marini. 1990. Growing conditions alter the relationship between SPAD-501 values and apple leaf chlorophyll. *HortScience* 25: 330–331.
- Cantwell, M. I., and M. S. Reid. 1994. Postharvest physiology and handling of fresh culinary herbs. *Journal of Herbs, Spices, and Medicinal Plants* 1: 93–127.
- Castelli, F., R. Contillo, and F. Miceli. 1996. Non-destructive determination of leaf chlorophyll content in four crop species. *Journal of Agronomy and Crop Science* 177: 275–283.
- Cate, T. M., and T. D. Perkins. 2003. Chlorophyll content monitoring in sugar maple (*Acer saccharum*). *Tree Physiology* 23: 1077–1079.
- Chiariello, N. R., H. A. Mooney, and K. Williams. 1989. Growth, carbon allocation and cost of plant tissues. In: *Plant Physiological Ecology: Field Methods and Instrumentation*, eds. R. W. Pearcy, J. Ehleringer, H. A. Mooney, and P. W. Rundel, pp. 327–366. New York: Chapman & Hall.
- Curran, P. J., J. L. Dungan, and H. L. Gholz. 1990. Exploring the relationship between reflectance red edge and chlorophyll content in slash pine. *Tree Physiology* 7: 33–48.
- da Silva F., R. H. Silva-Santos, N. J. Andrade, L. C. Almeida-Barbosa, V. W. Dias-Casali, R. Ribeiro de Lima, and R. Vaz de Melo. 2005. Basil conservation affected by cropping season, harvest time and storage period. *Pesquisa Agropecuaria Brasileira ´* 40: 323–328.
- Demmig-Adams, B., and W. W. Adams. 1996. Chlorophyll and carotenoid composition in leaves of *Euonymus kiautschovicus* acclimated to different degrees of light stress in the field. *Australian Journal of Plant Physiology* 23: 649–659.
- Dwyer, L. M., A. M. Anderson, B. L. Ma, D. W. Stewart, M. Tollenaar, and E. Gregorich. 1995. Quantifying the nonlinearity in chlorophyll meter response to corn leaf nitrogen concentration. *Canadian Journal of Plant Science* 75: 179–182.
- Dwyer, L. M., M. Tollenaar, and L. Houwing. 1991. A nondestructive method to monitor leaf greenness in corn. *Canadian Journal of Plant Science* 71: 505–509.
- Fanizza, G., C. Della Gatta, and C. Bagnulo. 1991. A nondestructive determination of leaf chlorophyll in *Vitis vinifera*. *Annals Applied Biology* 119: 203–205.
- Filella, I., I. Serrano, J. Serra, and J. Peñuelas. 1995. Evaluating wheat nitrogen status with canopy reflectance indices and discriminant analysis. *Crop Science* 35: 1400–1405.
- Gamon, J. A., and J. S. Surfus. 1999. Assessing leaf pigment content and activity with a reflectometer. *New Phytologyst* 143: 105–117.
- Gang, D. R., J. Wang, N. Dudareva, K. H. Nam, J. E. Simon, E., Lewinsohn, and E. Pichersky. 2001. An investigation of the storage and biosynthesis of phenylpropenes in sweet basil. *Plant Physiology* 125: 539–555.
- Gitelson, A. A., Y. Gritz, and M. N. Merzlyak. 2003. Relationships between leaf chlorophyll content and spectral reflectance and algorithms for non-destructive chlorophyll assessment in higher plant leaves. *Journal of Plant Physiology* 160: 271–282.
- Gitelson, A. A., and M. N. Merzlyak. 1994a. Quantitative estimation of chlorophyll *a* using reflectance spectra: experiments with autumn chestnut and maple leaves. *Journal Photochemistry and Photobiology* 22: 247–252.
- Gitelson, A. A., and M. N. Merzlyak. 1994b. Spectral reflectance changes associated with autumn senescense of *Aesculus hippocastanum* and *Acer platanoides* leaves. Spectral features and relation to chlorophyll estimation. *Journal of Plant Physiology* 143: 286–292.
- Gitelson, A. A., M. N. Merzlyak, and O. B. Chivkunova. 2001. Optical properties and non-destructive estimation of anthocyanin content in plant leaves. *Journal Photochemistry and Photobiology* 74: 38– 45.
- Gitelson, A. A., Y. Zur, O. B. Chivkunova, and M. M. Merzlyak. 2002. Assessing carotenoid content in plant leaves with reflectance spectroscopy. *Journal Photochemistry and Photobiology* 75: 272– 281.
- Giunta, F., R. Motzo, and M. Deidda. 2002. SPAD readings and associated leaf traits in durum wheat, barley, and triticale cultivars. *Euphytica* 125: 197–205.
- Gratani, L. 1992. A non-destructive method to determine chlorophyll content of leaves. *Photosynthetica* 26: 469–473.
- Gratani, L., and A. Bombelli. 2000. Correlation between leaf age and other leaf traits in three Mediterranean maquis shrub species: *Quercus ilex*, *Phyllyrea latifolia* and *Cistus incanus*. *Environmental and Experimental Botany* 43: 141–153.
- Hendry, G. A. F., J. D. Houghton, and S. D. Brown. 1987. The degradation of chlorophyll biological enigma. *New Phytologyst* 107: 255–302.
- Himelrick, D. G., C. W. Wood, and W. A. Dozier. Jr. 1992. Relationship between SPAD-502 meter values and extractable chlorophyll in strawberry. *Advances in Strawberry Research* 11: 59–61.
- Hoel, B. O., and K. A. Solhaug. 1998. Effect of irradiance on chlorophyll with the Minolta SPAD-502 leaf chlorophyll meter. *Annals of Botany* 82: 389–392.
- Hussain, F., K. F. Bronson, Y. Singh, B. Singh, and S. Peng. 2000. Use of chlorophyll meter sufficiency for nitrogen management of irrigated rice in Asia. *Agronomy Journal* 92: 875–879.
- Inada, K. 1963. Studies on a method for determining the deepness of green color and chlorophyll content of intact crop leaves and its practical applications: principles for estimating the deepness of green color and chlorophyll content of whole leaves. *Proceedings of the Crop Science Society* 32: 157–162.
- Inskeep, W. P., and P. R. Bloom. 1985. Extinction coefficients of chlorophyll a and b in N,Ndimethylformamide and 80% acetone. *Plant Physiology* 77: 483–485.
- IUSS Working Group WRB. 2006. *World Reference Base for Soil Resources 2006. A Framework for International Classification, Correlation and Communication*, 2nd ed. World Soil Resources Reports No. 103. Rome: FAO.
- Knapp, A. K., and G. A. Carter. 1998. Variability in leaf optical properties among 26 species from a broad range of habitats. *American Journal of Botany* 85: 940–946.
- Kopsell, D. A., D. E. Kopsell, and J. Curran-Celentano. 2005. Carotenoid and chlorophyll pigments in sweet basil grown in the field and greenhouse. *HortScience* 40: 1230–1233.
- Larcher, W. 2003. *Physiological Plant Ecology*, 4th ed. Berlin: Springer-Verlag.
- Lewinsohn, E., R. I. Ziv, N. Dudai, Y. Tadmor, E. Lastochkin, O. Larkov, D. Chaimovitsh, U. Ravid, E. Putievsky, E. Pichersky, and Y. Shoham. 2000. Biosynthesis of estragole and methyl-eugenol in sweet basil (*Ocimum basilicum* L.): developmental and chemotypic association of allylphenol Omethyltransferase activities. *Plant Science* 160: 27–35.
- Little, T. M., and J. Hills. 1975. *Statistical Methods in Agricultural Research*. Berkeley, CA: University of California.
- Ma, B. L., M. J. Morrison, and H. D. Voldeng. 1995. Leaf greenness and photosynthetic rates in soybean. *Crop Science* 35: 1411–1414.
- MacNicol, P. K., M. I. Dudzinski, and B. N. Condon. 1976. Estimation of chlorophyll in tobacco leaves by direct photometry. *Annals of Botany* 40: 143–152.
- Markwell, J., J. C. Osterman, and J. L. Mitchell. 1995. Calibration of the Minolta SPAD-502 leaf chlorophyll meter. *Photosynthesis Research* 46: 467–472.
- Marquard, R. D., and J. L. Tipton. 1987. Relationship between extractable chlorophyll and an in situ method to estimate leaf greenness. *HortScience* 22: 1327.
- Meir, M., R. Ronen, S. Lurie, and S. Philosoph-Hadas. 1997. Assessment of chilling injury during storage: chlorophyll fluorescence characteristics of chilling-susceptible and triazole-induced chilling tolerant basil leaves. *Postharvest Biology and Technology* 10: 213–220.
- Merzlyak, M. N., and A. A. Gitelson. 1995. Why and what for the leaves are yellow in autumn? On the interpretation of optical spectra of senescence leaves (*Acer platanoides* L.). *Journal of Plant Physiology* 145: 315–320.
- Miele, M., R. Dondero, G. Ciarallo, and M. Mazzei. 2001a. Methyleugenol in *Ocimum basilicum cv*. Genovese Gigante. *Journal of Agriculture and Food Chemistry* 49: 517–521.
- Miele, M., B. Ledda, C. Falugi, and M. Mazzei. 2001b. Methyleugenol and eugenol variation in *Ocimum basilicum* cv. Genovese Gigante in greenhouse and in vitro. *Journal of Biological Research* 77: 43– 50.
- Misra, A. N., A. Srivastava, and R. J. Strasser. 2001. Utilization of fast chlorophyll *a* fluorescence technique in assessing the salt/ion sensitivity of mung bean and Brassica seedlings. *Journal of Plant Physiology* 158: 1173–1181.
- Monje, O. A., and B. Bugbee. 1992. Inherent limitations of nondestructive chlorophyll meters: A comparison of two types of meters. *HortScience* 27: 69–71.
- Moran, J. A., A. K. Mitchell, G. Goodmanson, and K. A. Stockburger. 2000. Differentiation among effects of nitrogen fertilization treatments on conifer seedlings by foliar reflectance: a comparison of methods. *Tree Physiology* 20: 1113–1120.
- Murillo-Amador, B., N. Y. Ávila-Serrano, J. L. García-Hernández, R. López-Aguilar, E. Troyo-Diéguez, and C. Kaya. 2004. Relationship between a nondestructive and an extraction method for measuring chlorophyll contents in cowpea leaves. *Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science* 167: 363–364.
- Nacar, S., and S. Tansi. 2000. Chemical components of different basil (*Ocimum basilicum* L.) cultivars grown in Mediterranean regions in Turkey. *Israel Journal of Plant Science* 48: 109–112.
- Peng, S., F. V. García, R. C. Laza, and K. G. Cassman. 1993. Adjustment for specific leaf weight improves chlorophyll meter's estimate of rice leaf nitrogen concentration. *Agronomy Journal* 85: 987–990.
- Peñuelas, J., and I. Fillela. 1998. Visible and near-infrared reflectance techniques for diagnosing plant physiological status. *Trends in Plant Science* 3: 151–156.
- Philosoph-Hadas, S., S. Meir, B. Akiri, and J. Kanner. 1994. Oxidative defense systems in leaves of three edible herbs species in relation to their senescence rates. *Journal of Agriculture and Food Chemistry* 42: 2376–2381.
- Politycka, B., and A. Golcz. 2004. Content of chloroplast pigments and anthocyanins in the leaves of *Ocimum basilicum* L. depending on nitrogen doses. *Folia Horticulturae* 16: 23–29.
- Richardson, A. D., S. P. Duigan, and G. P. Berlyn. 2002. An evaluation of noninvasive methods to estimate foliar chlorophyll content. *New Phytologist* 153: 185–194.
- Samdur, M. Y., A. L. Singh, R. K. Mathur, P. Manivel, B. M. Chikani, H. K. Gor, and M. A. Khan. 2000. Field evaluation of chlorophyll meter for screening groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) genotypes tolerant to iron-deficiency chlorosis. *Current Science* 79: 211–214.
- Sicher, R. C. 1998. Yellowing and photosynthetic decline of barley primary leaves in response to elevated carbon dioxide enrichment. *New Phytologist* 139: 395–436.
- Singh, B., Y. Singh, J. K. Ladha, K. F. Bronson, V. Balasubramanian, J. Singh, and C. S. Khind. 2002. Chlorophyll meter-and leaf color chart-based nitrogen management for rice and wheat in northwestern India. *Agronomy Journal* 94: 821–829.
- Sokal, R. R., and F. J. Rohlf. 1998. *Biometry. The Principles and Practice of Statistics in Biological Research*, 3rd ed. New York: W.H. Freeman and Company. pp.
- Sorrentino, G., L. Cerio, and A. Alvino. 1997. Effect of shading and air temperature on leaf photosynthesis, fluorescence, and growth of lily plants. *Scientia Horticulturae* 69: 259–273.
- Tenga, A. Z., B. A. Marie, and D. P. Ormrod. 1989. Leaf greenness meter to assess ozone injury to tomato leaves. *HortScience* 24: 514.
- Uddling, J., J. Gelang-Alfredsson, K. Piikki, and H. Pleijel. 2007. Evaluating the relationship between leaf chlorophyll concentration and SPAD-502 chlorophyll meter readings. *Photosynthesis Research* 91: 37–46.
- Underhill, S. J. R., and C. Critchley. 1995. Cellular localization of polyphenol oxidase and peroxidases activity in *Litchi chinensis* Sonn. pericarp. *Australian Journal of Plant Physiology* 22: 627–632.
- Valenzuela, J. L., A. Sanchez, and L. Romero. 1994. Influence of nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium ´ fertilizations on foliar pigments in muskmelon leaves. *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis* 25: 1595–1604.
- Van Den Berg, A. K., and T. D. Perkins. 2004. Evaluation of a portable chlorophyll meter to estimate chlorophyll and nitrogen contents in sugar maple (*Acer saccharum* Marsh.) leaves. *Forest Ecology and Management* 200: 113–117.
- Vieira, R. F., and J. E. Simon. 2000. Chemical characterization of basil (*Ocimum basilicum* L.) found in the markets and used in traditional medicine in Brazil. *Economical Botany* 54: 207–216.
- Wang, Q. B., M. J. Chen, and Y. C. Li. 2004. Nondestructive and rapid estimation of leaf chlorophyll and nitrogen status of peace lily using a chlorophyll meter. *Journal of Plant Nutrition* 27: 557–569.
- Wang, Q., J. Chen, R. H. Stamps, and Y. Li. 2005. Correlation of visual quality grading and SPAD reading of green-leaved foliage plants. *Journal of Plant Nutrition* 28: 1215–1225.
- Xian-Xiang, J., and B. S. Vergara. 1986. Chlorophyll meter (SPAD-501) to quantify relative cold tolerance in rice. *International Rice Research Newsletter* 11(3): 10–11.
- Yamamoto, A., T. Nakamura, J. J. Adu-Gyamfi, and M. Saigusa. 2002. Relationship between chlorophyll content in leaves of sorghum and pigeon pea determined by extraction method and by chlorophyll meter (SPAD-502). *Journal of Plant Nutrition* 25: 2295–2301.
- Yadava, U. L. 1986. A rapid and nondestructive method to determine chlorophyll in intact leaves. *HortScience* 21: 1449–1450.
- Zar, J. H. 1999. *Biostatistical Analysis*. 4th ed., Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- Zotarelli, L., E. Garcia-Cardoso, J. L. Piccinin, S. Urquiaga, R. M. Boddey, E. Torres, and B. J. Rodrigues-Alves. 2002. Calibracao do medidor de clorofila Minolta SPAD-502 para uso na cultura do milho [Calibration of a Minolta SPAD-502 chlorophyll meter for evaluation of the nitrogen nutrition of maize]. Ministerio da Agricultura Pecuária e Abstecimento. Comunicado Técnico No. 55. Seropédica, Brazil: Embrapa Agrobiologia.